

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/768,535 01/24/2001		Robert J. Schena	9469-4 DI2	3002
	28977	7590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			HOLZEN, STEPHEN A	
	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2921			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3644	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ 1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/768,535	SCHENA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen A. Holzen	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	December 2003				
· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	<i>,</i> —				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21-29 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	dee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summer	n/ (BTO 412)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>18,19</u>. 	8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/23/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant asserts that Reber et al dose not extracting link information from the machine readable code, and applying at least the link information to a link table to identify a network address of the database containing records of the transaction, and then directing the user format he portal server to the identified network address. However col. 7, lines 30-35 of Reber teach "a non-predictable bar code to encode an electronic address to identify the computer-readable transaction information. As an example of the network address Reber uses a website. Inherently the method includes an address database to link the non-predicable code with the web address. The claims stand rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 21-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reber et al (5,969,324). Reber et al discloses a method of commercial administration using a

Application/Control Number: 09/768,535

Art Unit: 3644

network connecting a scanner, a portal server, and a receiver, the method comprising the steps of generating a written record of a transition, which record includes machine-readable code identifying at least the transaction and a database containing records of the transaction and accessible from the network via the portal server (see Abstract),

scanning said machine-readable code using the scanner (#54)

storing the machine - readable code in a memory (#50)

optionally obtaining and storing a user input information (see Col. 6, line 6)

sending the stored information and information identifying the user to the portion server via the network, receiving the information at the portal server, and accessing the records of the transaction in the said database. (see Col. 5, lines 26-32)

Re - Claim 22: which comprises downloading from the database to the receiver the records of the transaction (see Col. 5, lines 26-32)

Re - Claim 23: wherein the written record is a receipt (abstract) and which comprises importing the downloaded records into an expense accounting system (see Col. 5, lines 30-32)

Re - Claim 24: Which comprise automatically transferring funs to pay a debt created by the transaction (see Col. 5, lines 30-32_

Re - Claim 25: appending user information (see Figure 9 and Col. 6, line 6)

Re - Claim 26: wherein the transaction is a sale and the database contains product and purchaser information (see Abstract, Col. 6, lines 1-10)

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reber in view of Cragun et al (5,804,803). Reber teaches every aspect of the present invention except which comprise using the product and purchaser information for warranty administration for maintenance contract administration and supply of upgrades. Cragun et al teaches that it is well known and old in the art to supply information related to warranty, maintenance and upgrades on the product in the form of a bar code. (see Col. 4, lines 1-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to encode warranty, service and upgrade information on the receipt (instead of on the product itself) to allow for a more aesthetically pleasing design.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 703-308-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/768,535

Art Unit: 3644

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

CHARLES T. JORDAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Charles T. Ardan